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## Sequence Databases \& Sequential Patterns

- Transaction databases, time-series databases vs. sequence databases
- Frequent patterns vs. (frequent) sequential patterns
- Applications of sequential pattern mining
- Customer shopping sequences:
- First buy computer, then CD-ROM, and then digital camera, within 3 months.
- Medical treatments, natural disasters (e.g., earthquakes), science \& eng. processes, stocks and markets, etc.
- Telephone calling patterns, Weblog click streams
- Program execution sequence data sets
- DNA sequences and gene structures


## What Is Sequential Pattern Mining?

- Given a set of sequences, find the complete set of frequent subsequences

A sequence database

| SID | sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $<\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{abc})(\mathrm{ac}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{cf})>$ |
| 20 | $<(\mathrm{ad}) \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{bc})(\mathrm{ae})>$ |
| 30 | $<(\mathrm{ef})(\mathrm{ab})(\mathrm{df}) \mathrm{cb}>$ |
| 40 | $<e \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{af}) \mathrm{cbc}>$ |

$$
\text { A sequence : <(ef) (ab) (df) cb }>
$$

An element may contain a set of items. Items within an element are unordered and we list them alphabetically.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <a(\mathrm{bc}) \mathrm{dc}>\text { is a subsequence } \\
& \text { of }<\underline{a}(\mathrm{abc})(\mathrm{ac}) \underline{d}(\mathrm{cf})>
\end{aligned}
$$

Given support threshold min_sup $=2,<(a b) \mathrm{c}>$ is a sequential pattern

## Challenges on Sequential Pattern Mining

- A huge number of possible sequential patterns are hidden in databases
- A mining algorithm should
- find the complete set of patterns, when possible, satisfying the minimum support (frequency) threshold
- be highly efficient, scalable, involving only a small number of database scans
- be able to incorporate various kinds of user-specific constraints


## Sequential Pattern Mining Algorithms

- Concept introduction and an initial Apriori-like algorithm
- Agrawal \& Srikant. Mining sequential patterns, ICDE'95
- Apriori-based method: GSP (Generalized Sequential Patterns: Srikant \& Agrawal @ EDBT'96)
- Pattern-growth methods: FreeSpan \& PrefixSpan (Han et al.@KDD’00; Pei, et al.@ICDE’01)
- Vertical format-based mining: SPADE (Zaki@Machine Leanining'00)
- Constraint-based sequential pattern mining (SPIRIT: Garofalakis, Rastogi, Shim@VLDB'99; Pei, Han, Wang @ CIKM'02)
- Mining closed sequential patterns: CloSpan (Yan, Han \& Afshar @SDM'03)


## The Apriori Property of Sequential Patterns

- A basic property: Apriori (Agrawal \& Sirkant'94)
- If a sequence $S$ is not frequent
- Then none of the super-sequences of $S$ is frequent
- E.g, <hb> is infrequent $\rightarrow$ so do <hab> and <(ah)b>

| Seq. ID | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $<(\mathrm{bd}) \mathrm{cb}(\mathrm{ac})>$ |
| 20 | $<(\mathrm{bf})(\mathrm{ce}) \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{fg})>$ |
| 30 | $<(\mathrm{ah})(\mathrm{bf}) \mathrm{abf}>$ |
| 40 | $<$ (be)(ce)d> |
| 50 | $<\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{bd}) \mathrm{bcb}(\mathrm{ade})>$ |

Given support threshold min_sup $=2$

## GSP-Generalized Sequential Pattern Mining

- GSP (Generalized Sequential Pattern) mining algorithm
- proposed by Agrawal and Srikant, EDBT'96
- Outline of the method
- Initially, every item in DB is a candidate of length-1
- for each level (i.e., sequences of length-k) do
- scan database to collect support count for each candidate sequence
- generate candidate length- $(k+1)$ sequences from length-k frequent sequences using Apriori
- repeat until no frequent sequence or no candidate can be found
- Major strength: Candidate pruning by Apriori


## Finding Length-1 Sequential Patterns

- Examine GSP using an example
- Initial candidates: all singleton sequences
- <a>, <b>, <c>, <d>, <e>, <f>, <g>, <h>
- Scan database once, count support for candidates
min_sup $=2$

| Seq. ID | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $<(\mathrm{bd}) \mathrm{cb}(\mathrm{ac})>$ |
| 20 | $<(\mathrm{bf})(\mathrm{ce}) \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{fg})>$ |
| 30 | $<(\mathrm{ah})(\mathrm{bf}) \mathrm{abf}>$ |
| 40 | $<(\mathrm{be})(\mathrm{ce}) \mathrm{d}>$ |
| 50 | $<\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{bd}) \mathrm{bcb}(\mathrm{ade})>$ |


| Cand | Sup |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle$ | 3 |
| $<\mathrm{b}\rangle$ | 5 |
| $\langle\mathrm{c}\rangle$ | 4 |
| $\langle\mathrm{~d}\rangle$ | 3 |
| $<\mathrm{e}\rangle$ | 3 |
| $<\mathrm{f}\rangle$ | 2 |
| $\langle\mathrm{~g}\rangle$ | 1 |
| $\langle\mathrm{~h}\rangle$ | 1 |

## GSP: Generating Length-2 Candidates

## 51 length-2 <br> Candidates

|  | <a> | <b> | <c> | <d> | <e> | <f> |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <a> | <aa> | <ab> | <ac> | <ad> | <ae> | <af> |
| <b> | <ba> | <bb> | <bc> | <bd> | <be> | <bf> |
| <c> | <ca> | <cb> | <cc> | <cd> | <ce> | <cf> |
| <d> | <da> | <db> | <dc> | <dd> | <de> | <df> |
| <e> | <ea> | <eb> | <ec> | <ed> | <ee> | <ef> |
| <f> | <fa> | <fb> | <fc> | <fd> | <fe> | <ff> |


|  | $<\mathrm{a}>$ | $<\mathrm{b}>$ | $<\mathrm{c}>$ | $<\mathrm{d}>$ | $<\mathrm{e}>$ | $<\mathrm{f}>$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<\mathrm{a}>$ |  | $<(\mathrm{ab})>$ | $<(\mathrm{ac})>$ | $<(\mathrm{ad})>$ | $<(\mathrm{ae})>$ | $<(\mathrm{af})>$ |
| $<\mathrm{b}>$ |  |  | $<(\mathrm{bc})>$ | $<(\mathrm{bd})>$ | $<(\mathrm{be})>$ | $<(\mathrm{bf})>$ |
| $<\mathrm{c}>$ |  |  |  | $<(\mathrm{cd})>$ | $<(\mathrm{ce})>$ | $<(\mathrm{cf})>$ |
| <d> |  |  |  |  | $<(\mathrm{de})>$ | $<(\mathrm{df})>$ |
| <e> |  |  |  |  |  | $<(\mathrm{ef})>$ |
| $<\mathrm{f}>$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Without Apriori property, <br> $8 * 8+8 * 7 / 2=92$ <br> candidates

Apriori prunes
$44.57 \%$ candidates

## The GSP Mining Process

$5^{\text {th }}$ scan: 1 cand. 1 length-5 seq. $<($ bd) cba> pat.
$4^{\text {th }}$ scan: 8 cand. 6 length -4 seq. pat.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ scan: 46 cand. 19 length- 3 seq. pat. 20 cand. not in DB at all $2^{\text {nd }}$ scan: 51 cand. 19 length- 2 seq. pat. 10 cand. not in DB at all $1^{\text {st }}$ scan: 8 cand. 6 length- 1 seq. pat.

Cand. cannot pass
sup. threshold


| min_sup $=2$ | Seq. ID | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | <(bd)cb(ac)> |
|  | 20 | <(bf)(ce)b(fg)> |
|  | 30 | <(ah)(bf)abf> |
|  | 40 | <(be)(ce)d> |
|  | 50 | <a(bd) bcb(ade)> |

## Candidate Generate-and-test: Drawbacks

- A huge set of candidate sequences generated
- Especially 2-item candidate sequence
- Multiple Scans of database needed
- The length of each candidate grows by one at each database scan
- Inefficient for mining long sequential patterns
- A long pattern grow up from short patterns
- The number of short patterns is exponential to the length of mined patterns


## The SPADE Algorithm

- SPADE (Sequential PAttern Discovery using Equivalent Class) developed by Zaki 2001
- A vertical format sequential pattern mining method
- A sequence database is mapped to a large set of
- Item: <SID, EID> sequence id and eventid
- Sequential pattern mining is performed by
- growing the subsequences (patterns) one item at a time by Apriori candidate generation


## The SPADE Algorithm

| SID | EID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | a |
| 1 | 2 | abc |
| 1 | 3 | ac |
| 1 | 4 | d |
| 1 | 5 | cf |
| 2 | 1 | ad |
| 2 | 2 | c |
| 2 | 3 | bc |
| 2 | 4 | ae |
| 3 | 1 | ef |
| 3 | 2 | ab |
| 3 | 3 | df |
| 3 | 4 | c |
| 3 | 5 | b |
| 4 | 1 | e |
| 4 | 2 | g |
| 4 | 3 | af |
| 4 | 4 | c |
| 4 | 5 | b |
| 4 | 6 | c |


| a |  | b |  | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SID | EID | SID | EID | $\cdots$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 |  |
| 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3 |  |  |  |


| ab |  |  |  | ba |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SID | EID $(\mathrm{a})$ | EID(b) | SID | EID $(\mathrm{b})$ | EID(a) | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| aba |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SID | EID (a) | EID(b) | EID(a) | $\cdots$ |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |

## Bottlenecks of GSP and SPADE

- A huge set of candidates could be generated
- 1,000 frequent length-1 sequences generate $s$ huge number of length- 2 candidates! $1000 \times 1000+\frac{1000 \times 999}{2}=1,499,500$
- Multiple scans of database in mining
- Breadth-first search
- Mining long sequential patterns
- Needs an exponential number of short candidates
- A length-100 sequential pattern needs $10^{30}$ candidate sequences!

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{100}\binom{100}{i}=2^{100}-1 \approx 10^{30}
$$

## Prefix and Suffix (Projection)

- <a>, <aa>, <a(ab)> and <a(abc)> are prefixes of sequence <a(abc)(ac)d(cf)>
- Given sequence <a(abc)(ac)d(cf)>

| Prefix | Suffix (Prefix-Based Projection) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $<\mathrm{a}>$ | $<(\mathrm{abc})(\mathrm{ac}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{cf})>$ |
| $<\mathrm{aa}>$ | $<\left(\_\mathrm{bc}\right)(\mathrm{ac}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{cf})>$ |
| $<\mathrm{ab}>$ | $<\left(\_c\right)(\mathrm{ac}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{cf})>$ |

## Mining Sequential Patterns by Prefix Projections

- Step 1: find length-1 sequential patterns
- <a>, <b>, <c>, <d>, <e>, <f>
- Step 2: divide search space. The complete set of seq. pat. can be partitioned into 6 subsets:
- The ones having prefix <a>;
- The ones having prefix <b>;
- The ones having prefix <f>

| SID | sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $<a(\mathrm{abc})(\mathrm{ac}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{cf})>$ |
| 20 | $<(\mathrm{ad}) \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{bc})(\mathrm{ae})>$ |
| 30 | $<(\mathrm{ef})(\mathrm{ab})(\mathrm{df}) \mathrm{cb}>$ |
| 40 | $<e g(\mathrm{af}) \mathrm{cbc}>$ |

## Finding Seq. Patterns with Prefix <a>

- Only need to consider projections w.r.t. <a>
- <a>-projected database:
- <(abc)(ac)d(cf)>
- <(_d)c(bc)(ae)>
- <(_b)(df)cb>
- <(_f)cbc>

| SID | sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $<a(\mathrm{abc})(\mathrm{ac}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{cf})>$ |
| 20 | $<(\mathrm{ad}) \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{bc})(\mathrm{ae})>$ |
| 30 | $<(\mathrm{ef})(\mathrm{ab})(\mathrm{df}) \mathrm{cb}>$ |
| 40 | $<e g(\mathrm{af}) \mathrm{cbc}>$ |

- Find all the length-2 seq. pat. Having prefix <a>: <aa>, <ab>, <(ab)>, <ac>, <ad>, <af>
- Further partition into 6 subsets
- Having prefix <aa>;
- ...
- Having prefix <af>


## Completeness of PrefixSpan

## SDB

| SID | sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $<a(\mathrm{abc})(\mathrm{ac}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{cf})>$ |
| 20 | $<(\mathrm{ad}) \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{bc})(\mathrm{ae})>$ |
| 30 | $<(\mathrm{ef})(\mathrm{ab})(\mathrm{df}) \mathrm{cb}>$ |
| 40 | $<e g(\mathrm{af}) \mathrm{cbc}>$ |

Length-1 sequential patterns $<a>,<b>,<c>,<d>,<e>,<f>$

Having prefix <a>
Having prefix <c>, .., <f>


## Efficiency of PrefixSpan

- No candidate sequence needs to be generated
- Projected databases keep shrinking
- Major cost of PrefixSpan: Constructing projected databases
- Can be improved by pseudo-projections


## Speed-up by Pseudo-projection

- Major cost of PrefixSpan: projection
- Postfixes of sequences often appear repeatedly in recursive projected databases
- When (projected) database can be held in main memory, use pointers to form projections
- Pointer to the sequence
- Offset of the postfix



## Pseudo-Projection vs. Physical Projection

- Pseudo-projection avoids physically copying postfixes
- Efficient in running time and space when database can be held in main memory
- However, it is not efficient when database cannot fit in main memory
- Disk-based random accessing is very costly
- Suggested Approach:
- Integration of physical and pseudo-projection
- Swapping to pseudo-projection when the data set fits in memory


## CloSpan: Mining Closed Sequential Patterns

- A closed sequential pattern s: there exists no superpattern s' such that s' $\supset$ s , and $\mathrm{s}^{\prime}$ and s have the same support
- Which one is closed? <abc>: 20, <abcd>:20, <abcde>: 15
- Why mine close seq. patterns?
- Reduces the number of (redundant)
 patterns but attains the same expressive power
- Property: If s’ $\mathrm{\jmath}$ s, closed iff two project DBs have the same size
- Using Backward Subpattern and Backward Superpattern pruning to prune redundant search space



## Constraint-Based Seq.-Pattern Mining

- Constraint-based sequential pattern mining
- Constraints: User-specified, for focused mining of desired patterns
- How to explore efficient mining with constraints? Optimization
- Classification of constraints
- Anti-monotone: E.g., value_sum(S) < 150, $\min (S)>10$
- Monotone: E.g., count (S) >5, S $\supseteq$ \{PC, digital_camera \}
- Succinct: E.g., length(S) $\geq 10, \mathrm{~S} \in\{$ Pentium, MS/Office, MS/Money \}
- Convertible: E.g., value_avg(S) < 25, profit_sum (S) > 160, max(S)/avg(S) < 2, median(S) - $\min (\overline{\mathrm{S}})>5$
- Inconvertible: E.g., $\operatorname{avg}(S)$ - median(S) $=0$


